

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,175	02/27/2002	Barry Lynn Butler	1719	
7590 11/18/2005			EXAMINER	
Dr. Barry Lynn Butler 980 Santa Estella			BASICHAS, ALFRED	
Solana Beach, CA 92075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
		DATE MAIL ED. 11/10/2005		

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	10/085,175	BUTLER, BARRY LYNN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE of the	Alfred Basichas	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONE	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 D 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 13 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se cion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/085,175 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

1. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution.

The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,175

Art Unit: 3749

3. Claims 1-3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

Page 3

which applicant regards as the invention.

4. Claim*** rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

5. The phrases "like" and "i.e." render the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 3, and 13, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goto et al. (JP 59-93149 A).

Goto et al. discloses the invention as claimed including a boiling gas/liquid separator 9 and a filler tube 10 to return the condensed water to the fluid loop.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Embree (US 4269167) in view of Scharfman (US 4043317), Sigworth, Jr. (US 4413615), and Zinn (US 4399319).

- a. Embree discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a pressurization system (col. 5, lines 54 col. 6, line 2), said pressurization system prevents boiling and is thus an overheat protection system as discussed in the section of the specification listed above, means to prevent damage from freezing by drainage (col. 2, lines 54-56), and means to deliver heat (return line 14).
- b. Embree does not disclose a fluid radiator/overflow/recovery apparatus, an antifreeze heat transfer fluid, a flexible umbilical, and a double walled internal heat exchanger.
- c. Scharfman discloses a solar panel having an overheat protection system including dampers 28a,30a to permit cool air circulation to maintain the

Application/Control Number: 10/085,175

Art Unit: 3749

temperature of the collector.

d. Sigworth, Jr. discloses a solar energy system and teaches the use of an antifreeze heat transfer fluid (see the abstract) and a double walled internal heat exchanger 18 for the purpose of preventing freezing of the heat transfer fluid and preventing leaking of the heat transfer fluid. See column 5, lines 28-49.

Page 5

- e. Zinn discloses an umbilical assembly, which carries and insulates (outer jacket 14 is insulative) a heat transfer fluid and includes conductors 13,13A for making electrical circuits.
- f. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the solar energy system of Embree with the an overheat protection system including dampers as taught by Scharfman to permit cool air circulation to maintain the temperature of the collector, an antifreeze heat transfer fluid and a double walled internal heat exchanger as taught by Sigworth, Jr. for the purpose of preventing freezing of the heat transfer fluid and preventing leaking of the heat transfer fluid, and the umbilical assembly taught by Zinn for the purpose of insulating the heat transfer fluid and carrying conductors.

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections

are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In response to applicant's argument that the Zinn reference fails to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (e.g., polymer separators) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/085,175 Page 7

Art Unit: 3749

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 571 272 4871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571 272 4828. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center telephone number is 571 272 3700.

November 16, 2005

rimary Examiner